## Decision Tree Classifier: Illustrative Example

Decision tree builds classification or regression models in the form of a tree structure. It breaks down a dataset into smaller and smaller subsets while at the same time an associated decision tree is incrementally developed. The final result is a tree with decision nodes and leaf nodes. A decision node (e.g., Outlook) has two or more branches (e.g., Sunny, Overcast and Rainy). Leaf node (e.g., Play) represents a classification or decision. The topmost decision node in a tree which corresponds to the best predictor called root node. Decision trees can handle both categorical and numerical data.


## Algorithm

The core algorithm for building decision trees called ID3 by J. R. Quinlan which employs a top-down, greedy search through the space of possible branches with no backtracking. ID3 uses Entropy and Information Gain to construct a decision tree.

## Entropy

A decision tree is built top-down from a root node and involves partitioning the data into subsets that contain instances with similar values (homogenous). ID3 algorithm uses entropy to calculate the homogeneity of a sample. If the sample is completely homogeneous, the entropy is zero and if the sample is an equally divided it has entropy of one.


$$
\text { Entropy }=-0.5 \log _{2} 0.5-0.5 \log _{2} 0.5=1
$$

To build a decision tree, we need to calculate two types of entropy using frequency tables as follows:
a) Entropy using the frequency table of one attribute:

$$
E(S)=\sum_{i=1}^{c}-p_{i} \log _{2} p_{i}
$$


b) Entropy using the frequency table of two attributes:

$$
E(T, X)=\sum_{c \in X} P(c) E(c)
$$



## Information Gain

The information gain is based on the decrease in entropy after a dataset is split on an attribute. Constructing a decision tree is all about finding attribute that returns the highest information gain (i.e., the most homogeneous branches).

Step 1: Calculate entropy of the target.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Entropy(PlayGolf) } & =\text { Entropy }(5,9) \\
& =\text { Entropy }(0.36,0.64) \\
& =-\left(0.36 \log _{2} 0.36\right)-\left(0.64 \log _{2} 0.64\right) \\
& =0.94
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2: The dataset is then split on the different attributes. The entropy for each branch is calculated. Then it is added proportionally, to get total entropy for the split. The resulting entropy is subtracted from the entropy before the split. The result is the Information Gain, or decrease in entropy.


|  |  | Play Golf |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No |  |
| Temp. | Hot | 2 | 2 |
|  | Mild | 4 | 2 |
|  | Cool | 3 | 1 |
| Gain $=0.029$ |  |  |  |



$$
\operatorname{Gain}(T, X)=\operatorname{Entropy}(T)-\operatorname{Entropy}(T, X)
$$

```
G(PlayGolf, Outlook)=\mathbf{E(PlayGolf) - E(PlayGolf, Outlook)}
    =0.940-0.693 = 0.247
```

Step 3: Choose attribute with the largest information gain as the decision node, divide the dataset by its branches and repeat the same process on every branch.

|  | Play Golf |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |
| Outlook | Sunny | 3 | 2 |
|  | Overcast | 4 | 0 |
|  | Rainy | 2 | 3 |
| Gain $=0.247$ |  |  |  |



Step 4a: A branch with entropy of 0 is a leaf node.

| Temp. | Humidity | Windy | Play Golf |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hot | High | FALSE | Yes |
| Cool | Normal | TRUE | Yes |
| Mild | High | TRUE | Yes |
| Hot | Normal | FALSE | Yes |



Step 4b: A branch with entropy more than 0 needs further splitting.


Step 5: The ID3 algorithm is run recursively on the non-leaf branches, until all data is classified.

## Decision Tree to Decision Rules

A decision tree can easily be transformed to a set of rules by mapping from the root node to the leaf nodes one by one.

```
R
(Windy=FALSE) THEN Play=Yes
R2: IF (Outlook=Sunny) AND
(Windy=TRUE) THEN Play=No
\mp@subsup{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{3}}{\mathrm{ : IF (Outlook=Overcast) THEN}}\mathbf{}\mathrm{ (O}
Play=Yes
R4: IF (Outlook=Rainy) AND
(Humidity=High) THEN Play=No
R5: IF (Outlook=Rain) AND
(Humidity=Normal) THEN
Play=Yes
```



## Example

We will stick with our weekend example. Suppose we want to train a decision tree using the following instances:

| Weekend (Example) | Weather | Parents | Money | Decision (Category) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W1 | Sunny | Yes | Rich | Cinema |
| W2 | Sunny | No | Rich | Tennis |
| W3 | Windy | Yes | Rich | Cinema |
| W4 | Rainy | Yes | Poor | Cinema |
| W5 | Rainy | No | Rich | Stay in |
| W6 | Rainy | Yes | Poor | Cinema |
| W7 | Windy | No | Poor | Cinema |
| W8 | Windy | No | Rich | Shopping |
| W9 | Windy | Yes | Rich | Cinema |
| W10 | Sunny | No | Rich | Tennis |

The first thing we need to do is work out which attribute will be put into the node at the top of our tree: either weather, parents or money. To do this, we need to calculate:

Entropy $(S)=-p_{\text {cinema }} \log _{2}\left(p_{\text {cinema }}\right)-p_{\text {tennis }} \log _{2}\left(p_{\text {tennis }}\right)-p_{\text {shopping }} \log _{2}\left(p_{\text {shopping }}\right)-$
$\mathrm{p}_{\text {stay in }} \log _{2}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\left.\text {stay }{ }_{\text {in }}\right)}\right.$

$$
=-(6 / 10) * \log _{2}(6 / 10)-(2 / 10) * \log _{2}(2 / 10)-(1 / 10) * \log _{2}(1 / 10)-(1 / 10) *
$$

$\log _{2}(1 / 10)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-(6 / 10) *-0.737-(2 / 10) *-2.322-(1 / 10) *-3.322-(1 / 10) *-3.322 \\
& =0.4422+0.4644+0.3322+0.3322=1.571
\end{aligned}
$$

and we need to determine the best of:
$\operatorname{Gain}(\mathrm{S}$, weather $)=1.571-\left(\left|\mathrm{S}_{\text {sun }}\right| / 10\right) * E n t r o p y\left(\mathbf{S}_{\text {sun }}\right)-\left(\left|\mathbf{S}_{\text {wind }}\right| / 10\right) * E n t r o p y\left(\mathbf{S}_{\text {wind }}\right)-$ $\left(\left|S_{\text {rain }}\right| 10\right) * E n t r o p y\left(S_{\text {rain }}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1.571-(0.3) * E n t r o p y\left(S_{\text {sun }}\right)-(0.4) * \text { Entropy }\left(S_{\text {wind }}\right)-(0.3) * E n t r o p y\left(S_{\text {rain }}\right) \\
& =1.571-(0.3) *(0.918)-(0.4) *(0.81125)-(0.3) *(0.918)=0.70
\end{aligned}
$$

Gain(S, parents) $=1.571-\left(\left|S_{\text {yes }}\right| / 10\right) * E n t r o p y\left(S_{\text {yes }}\right)-\left(\left|\mathrm{S}_{\text {no }}\right| / 10\right) * E n t r o p y\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\text {no }}\right)$ $=1.571-(0.5) * 0-(0.5) * 1.922=1.571-0.961=0.61$

Gain $(\mathrm{S}$, money $)=1.571-\left(\mid \mathrm{S}_{\text {rich }} / 10\right) * E n t r o p y\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\text {rich }}\right)-\left(\mid \mathrm{S}_{\text {poor }} / 10\right) *$ Entropy $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {poor }}\right)$
$=1.571-(0.7) *(1.842)-(0.3) * 0=1.571-1.2894=0.2816$

This means that the first node in the decision tree will be the weather attribute. As an exercise, convince yourself why this scored (slightly) higher than the parents attribute remember what entropy means and look at the way information gain is calculated.

From the weather node, we draw a branch for the values that weather can take: sunny, windy and rainy:


Now we look at the first branch. $\mathrm{S}_{\text {sunny }}=\{\mathrm{W} 1, \mathrm{~W} 2, \mathrm{~W} 10\}$. This is not empty, so we do not put a default categorisation leaf node here. The categorisations of W1, W2 and W10 are Cinema, Tennis and Tennis respectively. As these are not all the same, we cannot put a categorisation leaf node here. Hence we put an attribute node here, which we will leave blank for the time being.

Looking at the second branch, $S_{\text {windy }}=\{$ W3, W7, W8, W9 $\}$. Again, this is not empty, and they do not all belong to the same class, so we put an attribute node here, left blank for now. The same situation happens with the third branch, hence our amended tree looks like this:


Now we have to fill in the choice of attribute A, which we know cannot be weather, because we've already removed that from the list of attributes to use. So, we need to calculate the values for Gain( $\mathrm{S}_{\text {sunny }}$, parents) and Gain(S $\mathrm{S}_{\text {sunny }}$, money). Firstly, Entropy $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {sunny }}\right)=0.918$. Next, we set $S$ to be $S_{\text {sunny }}=\{\mathrm{W} 1, \mathrm{~W} 2, \mathrm{~W} 10\}$ (and, for this part of the branch, we will ignore all the other examples). In effect, we are interested only in this part of the table:

| Weekend (Example) | Weather | Parents | Money | Decision (Category) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W1 | Sunny | Yes | Rich | Cinema |
| W2 | Sunny | No | Rich | Tennis |
| W10 | Sunny | No | Rich | Tennis |

Hence we can calculate:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Gain }\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {sunny }}, \text { parents }\right)=0.918-\left(\left|\mathrm{S}_{\text {yes }} / /|\mathrm{S}|\right) * \text { Entropy }\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {yes }}\right)-\left(\left|\mathrm{S}_{\text {no }} / /|\mathrm{S}|\right) * \text { Entropy }\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {no }}\right)\right.\right. \\
=0.918-(1 / 3) *-(2 / 3) * 0=0.918
\end{gathered}
$$

Gain $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {sunny }}\right.$, money $)=0.918-\left(\left|\mathrm{S}_{\text {rich }} / /|\mathrm{S}|\right) *\right.$ Entropy $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {rich }}\right)-\left(\left|\mathrm{S}_{\text {poor }} / /|\mathrm{S}|\right) *\right.$ Entropy $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {poor }}\right)$

$$
=0.918-(3 / 3) * 0.918-(0 / 3) * 0=0.918-0.918=0
$$

Notice that Entropy $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {yes }}\right)$ and Entropy $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {no }}\right)$ were both zero, because $\mathrm{S}_{\text {yes }}$ contains examples which are all in the same category (cinema), and $\mathrm{S}_{\text {no }}$ similarly contains examples which are all in the same category (tennis). This should make it more obvious why we use information gain to choose attributes to put in nodes.

Given our calculations, attribute A should be taken as parents. The two values from parents are yes and no, and we will draw a branch from the node for each of these. Remembering that we replaced the set $S$ by the set $S_{\text {sunny }}$, looking at $S_{\text {yes }}$, we see that the only example of this is W1. Hence, the branch for yes stops at a categorisation leaf, with the category being Cinema. Also, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{no}}$ contains W2 and W10, but these are in the same category (Tennis). Hence the branch for no ends here at a categorisation leaf. Hence our upgraded tree looks like this:


Finishing this tree off is left as a tutorial exercise.

### 11.4 Avoiding Overfitting

As we discussed in the previous lecture, overfitting is a common problem in machine learning. Decision trees suffer from this, because they are trained to stop when they have perfectly classified all the training data, i.e., each branch is extended just far enough to correctly categorise the examples relevant to that branch. Many approaches to overcoming overfitting in decision trees have been attempted. As summarised by Tom Mitchell, these attempts fit into two types:

- Stop growing the tree before it reaches perfection.
- Allow the tree to fully grow, and then post-prune some of the branches from it.

The second approach has been found to be more successful in practice. Both approaches boil down to the question of determining the correct tree size. See Chapter 3 of Tom Mitchell's book for a more detailed description of overfitting avoidance in decision tree learning.

